### ERROR AND OPTIMISM BLAS IN TOLL ROAD TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECASTS

Robert Bain RBconsult Ltd.

21<sup>st</sup> June 2011, Canberra

#### ERROR AND OPTIMISM BLAS IN TOLL ROAD TRAFFIC & REVENUE FORECASTS

Robert Bain RBconsult Ltd.

21<sup>st</sup> June 2011, Canberra



## 10,570 miles (from A to B)





Traffic Forecast Horizon					
1 Year 10 Years 25 Years					



Traffic Forecast Horizon					
1 Year 10 Years 25 Years					
±?%					



Traffic Forecast Horizon				
1 Year 10 Years 25 Years				
±?%	±?%			



Traffic Forecast Horizon					
1 Year 10 Years 25 Years					
±?%	±?%	±?%			



## An Evidence-Based Approach





## An Evidence-Based Approach

#### Triangulation

EVIDENCE EVIDENCE



#### An Evidence-Based Approach

#### Triangulation

Convergence from multiple data sources...

1. Past forecasting performance

2. Survey of practitioners

3. Evidence from related disciplines





# 1. Past Forecasting Performance



## Bain (2005)

Standard & Poor's Expanded Sample (2005) Normal (0.77, 0.26), *n* = 104



#### RECONSULT investor support services

## Others (selected examples

JP Morgan (1997)

- 14 toll roads (US)
- 1 exceeded its forecast; for 4 revenue was less than 30%
- Flyvbjerg et al (2005)
  - 183 tolled & toll-free roads (international)
  - Difference of over ±40% for ¼ of projects; no improvement in forecast accuracy over the years
- US Transportation Research Board (2006)
  - 26 toll roads (US)
  - "even with updated forecasts, only a small number are within ±10%"
- Vassallo (2007)
  - 14 toll roads (Spain)
  - " on average, traffic was overestimated by approximately 35%"
- Li & Hensher (2009)
  - 14 toll roads (Australia)
  - "on average, traffic levels of these [five] toll roads is 45% lower than predicted"
- Bain (2010)
  - 55 toll-free roads (UK)



## Bain (2010)



90% of observed data lies between  $\pm 27.5\%$  of the forecast (average age of forecasts = 5 years)



### Past Forecasting Performance

- Traffic forecasting errors are common
  ...and are commonly large
- Toll-free roads
  - Errors symmetrically distributed about the mean
- Toll roads

- Errors skewed
- Evidence of bias (optimism bias)





- Consultants, government officials & academics
- Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Hong Kong, Ireland, New Zealand, Sweden, UK & US
- Suggest likely error ranges (confidence intervals)
  - 2 scenarios:

- exiting road and a new build
- 4 horizons:
  - next day and 1 year, 5 years & 20 years ahead



Traffic Forecast Horizon	Existing Road	New Road
Next Day	±7.5%	n/a
1 Year	±10%	±15%
5 Years	±15%	±25%
20 Years	±32.5%	±42.5%



Traffic Forecast Horizon	Existing Road	New Road
Next Day	±7.5%	n/a
1 Year	±10%	±15%
5 Years	±15%	±25%
20 Years	±32.5%	±42.5%

Clear evidence of over-confidence: 

- 5 respondents: ±0% for next day
- 8 respondents: ±3% (or l [30% respondents: μ = < 5%] ±3% (or less) for 1-year
- 10 respondents: ±8% (or less) for 5-years
- 6 respondents: ±10% (or less) for 20-years



- Prediction intervals grow as horizon extends
  - Non-linear

- Predictive capability stronger for existing (known) facilities
  - New assets introduce forecasting challenges of their own
- Traffic forecasters (significant %) exhibit over-confidence
  - Well-researched cognitive bias
  - Underestimate possibility of the future being different from that envisaged
  - In-line with other research (bankers, financial analysts, economic forecasters)
- Surprisingly under-researched field
  - Need to reflect on forecasting accuracy & communicate with the profession
    - → Empirically derived (statistical) prediction intervals
    - → Learn lessons to guide future traffic forecasting practice



## 3. Evidence from Related Disciplines



#### Method

Majority of T&R forecasts incorporate growth

#### Central proposition

- Uncertainty associated with traffic growth
- ...cannot be less than the uncertainty associated with its determinants
- Determinants of traffic growth typically include projections of
  - Population
  - GDP
  - Car ownership
  - Households
  - Employment
  - Fuel price (and/or efficiency)
  - ...or some combination thereof



#### Method

- Majority of T&R forecasts incorporate growth
- Central proposition
  - Uncertainty associated with traffic growth
  - ...cannot be less than the uncertainty associated with its determinants
  - Determinants of traffic growth typically include projections of
    - Population
    - GDP
    - Car ownership
    - Households
    - Employment
    - Fuel price (and/or efficiency0
    - ...or some combination thereof

#### Population Forecasts



Initial review of predictive accuracy was positive, but...

• Forecasting performance deteriorates rapidly:

- ...as the forecasting horizon extends
  - linear?

- ...as the study area contracts (national  $\rightarrow$  subnational)
  - non-linear?
- ...if population is changing rapidly, in urban areas, students/armed forces...
  ??



#### Small-Area Population Forecasts





#### Small-Area Population Forecasts

- Errors for 20-year small-area population projections
  - Average of approximately ±25%, yet
    - 1/3 of survey respondents said less than ±25% for 20-yr traffic forecasts
- Errors for 30-year small-area population projections
  - Between ±25% and ±55%

- Average of approximately ±33%
- ...these are horizons frequently used in traffic forecasting!



#### Small-Area Population Forecasts

• A question and an appeal:

- How could the prediction intervals associated with traffic growth
  - ...be narrower than the intervals associated with the determinants of traffic growth?
- Forecasting reports typically describe the determinants of growth
  - Need to understand the uncertainties associated with these determinants
    - individually and collectively
  - ...and the resulting implications for traffic forecasts



## Conclusions



Research Conclusions



Past Forecasting Performance

Research Conclusions Survey of Practitioners **Evidence from Related Disciplines** 



Traffic Forecast Horizon					
1 Year 10 Years 25 Years					
±?%	±?%	±?%			



Study Unpredicta Level <sup>(Rapidly Chang</sup>	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon		
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years



Study Unpredictable (Rapidly Changing?)	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon		
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National					





Study	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon		
Level	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
* UK Department for Transp Percentages are round			nt for Transport es are rounded.		

" The formula is based on experience with UK national traffic forecasts. Local traffic forecasts would be expected to be less accurate than this."



Study	Unpredictable? (Rapidly Changing?)	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon		
Level			1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		?			
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded					nt for Transport es are rounded.



# Government Office Region (GOR)





Study	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon		
Level	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National	*	±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		?			
* UK Department for Transpo Percentages are rounde				nt for Transport es are rounded.	

"GOR level might be higher since they need to be aggregated together to get our ±15% (for Year 36) at national level."



Study Level	Unpredictable? (Rapidly Changing?)90% Confidence11 Ye	Traff	Traffic Forecast Horizon		
			1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		> ±2.5% * √n			
* UK Department for Trans Percentages are round				nt for Transport es are rounded.	

"GOR level might be higher since they need to be aggregated together to get our ±15% (for Year 36) at national level."



Study Level	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traff	ic Forecast F	lorizon	
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years	
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%	
Regional		> ±2.5% * √n				
Local						
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded.						



Study Level	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traff	ic Forecast F	lorizon	
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years	
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%	
Regional		> ±2.5% * √n				
Local	No					
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded.						



### Existing Road/Stable Conditions





Study Level	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traff	ic Forecast F	lorizon
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		> ±2.5% * √n			
Local	No	±7.5% * √n	±8%	±24%	±38%
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded.					



Study Level	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon	lorizon	
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		> ±2.5% * √n			
Local	No	±7.5% * √n	±8%	±24%	±38%
	Yes				
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded.					



#### New Road/Dynamic Conditions





Study Level	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traff	ic Forecast F	recast Horizon	
	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years	
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%	
Regional		> ±2.5% * √n				
Local	No	±7.5% * √n	±8%	±24%	±38%	
	Yes	±10% * √n	±13%	±38%	±50%	
	* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded.					



Study	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Traffic Forecast Horizon		lorizon
Level	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		±4% * √n	±4%	±13%	±20%
Local	No	±7.5% * √n	±8%	±24%	±38%
	Yes	±10% * √n	±13%	±38%	±50%
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded.					



Study	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	Confidence Traffic Foreca		t Horizon	
Level	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years	
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%	
Regional		±4% * √n	±4%	±13%	±20%	
Local	No	±7.5% * √n	±8%	±24%	±38%	
	Yes	±10% * √n	±13%	±38%	±50%	
* UK Department for Transport Percentages are rounded					nt for Transport es are rounded.	

"On discussion with colleagues, there is a feeling that even ±25% (for Year 35) might be too low when looking at individual area types or links"



Study	Unpredictable?	90% Confidence	ce Traffic Forecast Ho		lorizon
Level	(Rapidly Changing?)		1 Year	10 Years	25 Years
National*		±2.5% * √n	±3%	±8%	±13%
Regional		±4% * √n	±4%	±13%	±20%
Local	No	±7.5% * √n	±8%	±24%	±38%
	Yes	±10% * √n	±13%	±38%	±50%
* UK Department for Transpor Percentages are rounded				nt for Transport es are rounded.	

"On discussion with colleagues, there is a feeling that even ±25% (for Year 35) might be too low when looking at individual area types or links"

±25% @ Year 35 = ±**4.2**% \* √n



#### Demographers Beat Us To It...

By 40 years!

" Given the many uses of population projections, there is a need to provide a warning to users about the likely size of their errors."

Keyfitz N (1972)

Robert Bain e: info@robbain.com w: www.robbain.com



































#### What Revenue Forecasts <u>Really</u> Look Like





#### A Word About Bias...



#### A Word About Bias...

Why focus on error?

- Error should be considered first
  - In the absence of a discussion on error, the temptation is to attribute all (or most) departures from expectations to bias
- This would be convenient
  - Correct for bias and we have accurate forecasts!
- But, as we have seen, the role of error is significant
  - Correcting for bias is important, but
    - Correct for bias and we still have forecasts nesting within (potentially) large confidence intervals



#### A Word About Bias...

 Bias is what interested me about the current study

- The temptation is to believe that bias is entirely man-made
- But some elements of bias could be model-related
- That's precisely what I want to examine in this study

