



BITRE Colloquium
Canberra 18-19 June 2009

Research perspectives on the merits of Light Rail vs Bus

Professor Graham Currie
Chair of Public Transport, Institute of Transport Studies, Monash University

Agenda

1. Introduction

2. People Prefer Rail!

3. Beware the Streetcar!

4. The Transfer Problem


5. Other Factors

6. Conclusions

This paper examines trade offs in Light Rail vs Bus investment for urban Australia

- **Authorities face difficult decisions in using limited funds**
- **Much debate is polarised within industry divides – we need ‘facts’ not ‘faith’ upon which to base decisions**
- **Includes results from 3 research papers to inform the debate:**
 - Currie G (2005) ‘The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit’ Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1
 - Currie G (2006) ‘Do Melbourne Trams Have a Future?’ ARRB Conf Oct-Nov 2006
 - Currie G (2006) ‘Bus Transit Oriented Development – Strengths and Challenges Relative to Rail’ Journal of Public Transportation Vol. 9, No. 4, 2006

It is structured as follows:



Agenda

1. Introduction

2. People Prefer Rail!

3. Beware the Streetcar!

4. The Transfer Problem

5. Other Factors

6. Conclusions

Behavioural research can be used to explore passenger preference for transit modes

How many will use on-street Bus vs Light Rail?




Same:

- Walk Access Time
- Wait Time (Frequency)
- Fare
- Reliability
- In-Vehicle Travel Time
- Walk Egress
- Reliability

When measured for on-street bus, light rail and heavy rail interesting results emerge


Typical Comparison of Weighted Trip Time – On-Street Bus, Light Rail, Heavy Rail




Source: Based on Currie G (2005) 'The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit' Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1

The evidence shows much variation by mode but a general trend to preference for rail

**On Street Bus vs Light Rail
– Mode Specific Factors**



**On Street Bus vs Heavy Rail
– Mode Specific Factors**



Source: Currie G (2005) 'The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit' Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1

Why does rail have a perceived benefit over Bus?

- **The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:**
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - Ride Quality
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed

Stations have more amenities and are easy to locate than bus stops

- **The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:**
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - Ride Quality
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed



Rail lines are easy to understand – bus routes are spaghetti

- The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - Ride Quality
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed



A rail ride is comfortable, buses require a hand hold

- **The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:**
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - **Ride Quality**
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed



Traffic makes buses more unreliable than rail

- The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - Ride Quality
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed



Rail never waits at traffic signals – bus does

- The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - Ride Quality
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed




Rail is perceived as faster – bus in traffic with on-vehicle fare collection is slow

- The cause of the mode specific factor benefit of rail is related to comparative quality of bus vs rail in relation to:
 - Stops/Stations
 - Network Knowledge
 - Ride Quality
 - Expectations of Reliability
 - Expectations of Priority
 - Expectations of Speed



However (limited) evidence also suggests well designed bus systems can have similar MSC's



Agenda

1. Introduction

2. People Prefer Rail!

3. Beware the Streetcar!

4. The Transfer Problem

5. Other Factors

6. Conclusions


Melbourne has one of the worlds largest light rail systems



Unfortunately it's a "streetcar" system




Indeed its probably THE biggest (western) city streetcar system



Mixed Traffic service impedes performance

Average Operating Speeds – World Tram/Light Rail Systems




Melbourne Tram Reliability

- 33% of services are considered to be NOT running on time
- On time defined as arriving more than 1 min early of more than 6 mins late

Source: Track Record

As traffic is growing, trams are getting slower and more unreliable


Share of Services NOT ON Time



Source: ITS analysis of 'Track Record' Data



Average Operating Speed (Kph)



Source: Department of Infrastructure



In addition its not DDA Accessible and
needs to be by 2032




A good solution are 'super stops' – but these are feasible in few locations



Agenda

1. Introduction
2. People Prefer Rail!
3. Beware the Streetcar!
4. The Transfer Problem
5. Other Factors
6. Conclusions

Passengers don't like transferring between transit modes to complete journeys



Transfer Issue

- Represents over 30% of total perceived travel time
- Evidence shows transfer penalties can vary considerably with quality of the transfer location :





Unprotected Area,
Open Air,
Uncoordinated
Transfer, Low
Frequency
32 Minutes

Protected Area,
Covered,
Coordinated Transfer,
High Frequency
4 Minutes

- **Utility of Transfer Includes:**
 - Walk transfer time (weighted)
 - Wait transfer time (weighted)
 - PLUS a fixed transfer penalty


Source: Currie and Willis (98) Australasian Transport Research Forum

Evidence shows transfer 'penalties' vary but are generally significant in size




Source: Currie G (2005) 'The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit' Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1

They also vary by mode – quality of the transfer environment is again the determining factor




Source: Currie G (2005) 'The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit' Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1

The average transfer penalty for LRT is 19 minutes – a significant deterrent




Source: Currie G (2005) 'The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit' *Journal of Public Transportation* Vol 8 No 1

A major LRT design issue is how to avoid 'forcing' transfers from bus networks




Bus services run DIRECTLY (No transfer)
into the CBD



Only Light Rail Running the Full Length of the Route Would Avoid a 'Forced' Transfer


Key Question 1

- Can you afford to convert every bus route in Sydney to Light Rail?
- If Not Where (and who) has to have a 'forced' transfer?




Key Question 2

- Do you have CBD Space for LRT in one corridor PLUS buses from the rest of Sydney?
- IF NOT – Most of the Sydney Bus Network Would have Forced Transfers



Key Question 3

- Can you build an LRT system even in one Sydney corridor to avoid the Streetcar Problem?



Agenda

1. Introduction
2. People Prefer Rail!
3. Beware the Streetcar!
4. The Transfer Problem
5. Other Factors

6. Conclusions

5. Other Factors


a) Cost

b) Capacity and Performance

c) Environment

d) Development Impacts

BRT is cheaper to build than Light Rail...



Source: US General Accounting Office "Mass Transit – Bus Rapid Transit Shows Promise"
Report to Congressional Requesters September 2001

BRT is cheaper to build than Light Rail...



Table 8: Examples of systems operating costs

	UK light rail ³²	UK bus ³³
£ per vehicle km	£3.79	£0.94
£ per passenger km	£0.14	£0.08 ³⁴

UK
Evidence

Table 10: Examples of out-turn capital costs (2002 prices)⁴⁰


	Light rail	Bus lanes	Busways	Conventional guided bus
Infrastructure cost (£m/km, 2-way)	5-25 ⁴¹	0.006-0.3	2.7-15	2.7-4.3
Vehicle cost (£'000)	850-2,150	120-200	120-200	120-200 ⁴²
Expected lifetime (yrs)	25-50	8-14	8-14	8-14



..so you can build more mass transit for the dollar available

- **Curitiba's BRT investment was 300 times less costly than an equivalent subway system (Hensher, 1999)**
- **Bogota – TransMilenio busway 100% city wide transit system for the same cost as one railway line covering a small share of the city (16%) (Cain et al, 2006)**

How much of Australian cities can you cover for the cost of LRT?



Rouen (France) changed from LRT to BRT investment for sound financial reasons

- **Transit investment:**
 - 1994 – 2 light rail lines
 - 2001 – 3 BRT lines
- **Why BRT?**
 - Construction costs divided by 5
 - Operating costs divided by 1.4
 - Total construction period halved
 - Flexibility of buses vs LRT



5. Other Factors


a) Cost

b) Capacity and Performance

c) Environment

d) Development Impacts


LRT claims speed and capacity advantages...



National
BRT
Institute

Peak Directional Capacity (Passengers per Hour)

LRT claims speed and capacity advantages... ..but look at the EVIDENCE



Sources: Currie G (2006) 'BRT in Australasia: Performance, Lessons Learned and Futures' Journal of Public Transportation Volume 9, No. 3, 2006 Special Edition: Bus Rapid Transit

5. Other Factors

a) Cost

b) Capacity and Performance


c) Environment

d) Development Impacts

Light Rail runs on “clean” electricity while bus runs on “dirty” diesel



But in Melbourne, there isnt much difference



Source: 'Public transport's role in reducing greenhouse emissions' Position Paper July 2008 Commissioner for Environmental Sustainability, Melbourne Australia

5. Other Factors

a) Cost

b) Capacity and Performance

c) Environment


d) Development Impacts

The positive impact of LRT/rail on transit oriented development (TOD) are well documented



Research aimed to identify TOD pros (and cons) of bus relative to rail – rail is a clear winner


Strengths and Weakness of LOCAL BUS vs RAIL in Relation to Transit Oriented Development



Source: Currie G (2005) "Bus Transit Oriented Development – Strengths and Challenges Relative to Rail" *Journal of Public Transportation* Vol. 9, No. 4, 2006

The same research indicated well designed bus systems can (almost) match rail performance

Strengths and Weakness of BUS RAPID TRANSIT vs RAIL in Relation to Transit Oriented Development



Source: Currie G (2005) "Bus Transit Oriented Development – Strengths and Challenges Relative to Rail" Journal of Public Transportation Vol. 9, No. 4, 2006

Agenda


1. Introduction
2. People Prefer Rail!
3. Beware the Streetcar!
4. The Transfer Problem
5. Other Factors

6. Conclusions

It is a 'no brainer' that Australian cities need quality public transport solutions




Transit with rail like qualities is preferred by users and has urban development benefits



Source: Currie G (2005) 'The Demand Performance of Bus Rapid Transit' Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1

Strengths and Weakness of LOCAL BUS vs RAIL in Relation to Transit Oriented Development



Source: Currie G (2005) "Bus Transit Oriented Development – Strengths and Challenges Relative to Rail" Journal of Public Transportation Vol 8 No 1

However streetcars should be rejected



Segregated 'traffic free' rights of way are needed



Research indicates the user priorities for an optimum transit upgrade whatever the mode

Quality Stops/Stations

Simple Networks

Good Ride Quality

High Reliability

Priority Over Traffic

High Speed

Direct Transfer Free Trip

