

TABLE 5D06 DIRECT ELASTICITIES OF TRAVEL DEMAND FOR CBD WORKERS
IN CHICAGO

	<i>PCL #1</i>	<i>PCL #2</i>	<i>PCL #3</i>	<i>MNL</i>
<i>Elasticity wrt travel time</i>				
Automobile	-0.47	-0.47	-0.58	-0.64
Rapid Transit	-1.99	-1.39	-1.71	-1.51
Commuter Rail	-3.00	-2.14	-2.63	-2.29
Bus	-2.61	-2.63	-3.15	-3.03
<i>Elasticity wrt travel cost</i>				
Automobile	-0.18	-0.23	-0.24	-0.28
Rapid Transit	-0.19	-0.18	-0.18	-0.17
Commuter Rail	-0.40	-0.38	-0.38	-0.35
Bus	-0.12	-0.16	-0.16	-0.16

Notes PLC denotes paired-combinatorial logit model, MNL – multinomial logit model.

Model #1 calibrated the similarity coefficients for all alternative pairs.

Model #2 estimated by forcing all the similarity coefficients to zero, allowing correlations among the transit models.

Model #3 estimated by forcing all similarity coefficients, except between rapid transit and commuter rail, to zero.

Source Chu (1989, table 7, p. 305).